



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,566	04/26/2001	Gary Ross Ricard	ROC920000184US1	9483

7590

03/30/2004

Steven W. Roth
IBM Corporation, Dept. 917
3605 Highway 52 North
Rochester, MN 55901-7829

EXAMINER

TRAN, MYLINH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2174

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/843,566

Applicant(s)

RICARD, GARY ROSS

Examiner

Mylinh T Tran

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. [US.6,097,431] in view of Angiulo et al. [US. 6,275,829].

As to claims 1, 17 and 31, Anderson et al. discloses a processor; and memory, said memory being connected to said processor (column 5, lines 7-26); and a first screen (figure 11) being divided into a plurality of cells, each of said cells being associated with different segments of an image (column 6, lines 25-40) and a second screen being used to display one of said different segments to said user (figure 9, column 6, lines 25-40 and lines 52-65). The difference between Anderson et al. and the claim is first and second windows. Angiulo et al. shows the limitation at figures 2 and 6-8, column 9, lines 10-25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Anderson et al. and Angiulo et al. before them at the time the invention was made to modify the image segments as taught by Anderson to include the multiple windows of Angiulo et al., with the motivation being to help the user easily browse these images as taught by Angiulo et al.

As to claims 2, 10, 18, 26, 32 and 40, Angiulo et al. also discloses image being an original image (column 5, line 60 through column 6, line 10).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 3, 11, 19, 27 and 33, Angiulo et al. teaches image being a desired image of a user-specified size (column 6, lines 22-40).

As to claims 4, 12, 20, 28, 34 and 41, Anderson et al. also teaches said desired image being larger than said original image (figures 9 and 10, K11)

As to claims 5, 13, 21, 29, 35 and 42, Angiulo et al. shows desired image being smaller than said original image (figure 2).

As to claims 6, 14, 22, 36 and 43, Angiulo et al. also shows the first window being a thumbnail window (column 5, line 60 through column 6, line 5).

As to claims 7, 23 and 37, Angiulo et al. provides the second window being a display screen (figures 7-8).

As to claims 8, 24, 30, 38 and 44, Angiulo et al. also provides a scaled down version of said image being presented in said first window (column 9, lines 55-65).

As to claims 9, 25 and 39, the claim is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 except for the feature of "a second one of said plurality of cells being associated with a second image segment of said image, said second image segment not being presented to said user by said browser". Anderson shows it at figures 9-10.

As to claim 15, the claim is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claims 1 and 8.

As to claim 16, Angiulo et al. also teaches the image being a desired image of a user specified size (column 7, lines 40-58).

Conclusion

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires fax a response, (703) 872-

Art Unit: 2174

9306 for all kind of communications. NOTE, A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for information facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8.00AM to 6.30PM

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640,

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2174

Kristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISOR EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100